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#### Abstract

This study aims to determine how the influence of price perceptions, brand trust, and customer reviews on purchasing decisions for fashion products on e-commerce Shopee in eastern Medan. The number of samples in this study were 130 respondents. The results of multiple linear regression tests show that the price perception variable has a positive effect on purchasing decisions, by obtaining a regression coefficient of 0.253 . The brand trust variable has a positive effect on purchasing decisions, by obtaining a regression coefficient of 0.835 , and the customer review variable has a positive effect on purchasing decisions, by obtaining a regression coefficient of 0.152 . The results of the partial test (t-test) show that price perceptions have a significant effect on purchasing decisions, by obtaining tcount (3.472) > ttable (0.1723) and obtaining a significance value of $0.001<0.05$. The brand trust variable has a significant effect on purchasing decisions, by obtaining tcount (9.550) > ttable (0.1723) and obtaining a significance value of $0.000<0.05$, and the customer review variable has a significant effect on purchasing decisions, by obtaining tcount (3.754) $>$ ttable ( 0.1723 ) and obtained a significance value of $0.000<0.05$. From the results of testing the coefficient of determination (R2) the purchase decision variable can be explained by the price perception variable (X1), brand trust variable (X2) as an independent variable of $74.1 \%$ while the remaining $55.9 \%$ is explained by other variables not included in this study.


## INTRODUCTION

The introduction contains a brief and concise research background as intended. There is no theoretical support in this section, but it can be quoted from previous similar studies using an appropriate method (usingMendeley)

## METHODS

The methods is a research procedure and technique, and among one study and another, the processes and techniques can be different.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section contains (concise form) data analysis and interpretation of results. Interpretation of results using theories from articles as used. The descriptions is given include theoretical, implicative, and managerial, or practical.

The development of technology and information is currently changing people's lifestyles starting from communication interaction models and ending with buying and selling transactions in the community, so that the use of the internet really supports all activities well. Advances in information technology, especially the internet, is a good infrastructure for doing business online (e-commerce) and adapting to patterns of business competition. Currently online business is one of the marketing strategies that are needed in the development of information technology. One of the factors for the success of an online business is that there is a very large market share. The dynamic changing times and rapidly developing technology have made business competitors even more eager to provide customers with a variety of services, low prices and ease of transactions. This is beneficial for e-commerce business people so that e-commerce is the leading business today.

According to BOI Research, one of the marketplaces that is of interest to the Indonesian people is Shopee, which is a C2C customer to customer company and has transitioned to become a B2C business to customer. Shopee is a trusted platform in Indonesia, the Shopee application is expected to expand public purchases even more. (Reza et al., 2020) states that purchasing decisions can be interpreted as a process in which consumers are aware of a problem, seek information about a particular product or brand and evaluate the ability of each
alternative to solve the problem, which ultimately leads to purchasing decisions. As an online shopping center, Shopee sells and offers a variety of products such as fashion with different colors, sizes and different prices to help consumers make purchasing decisions. According to (Darmansah \& Yosepha, 2020) one of the factors that influence purchasing decisions is price perception. According to (Halim \& Tyra, 2021), apart from price perceptions and brand trust, customer reviews are another factor that influences consumer purchasing decisions The right and affordable price is the price that is in accordance with the quality of the product or service that satisfies the customer itself. Perceived price can be used as a benchmark for what information is received and how it compares with the amount of money spent on the product purchased. Based on the background information above, the researcher is interested in conducting research entitled "The Influence of Price Perception, Brand Trust, and Customer Reviews on Purchase Decisions for Fashion Products at E-commerce Shopee in East Medan".

## METHODS

The type of research used by researchers is quantitative research. In this study, purchasing decisions $(\mathrm{Y})$ were influenced by price perception (X1), brand trust (X2), and customer reviews (X3). This research is planned for users who use the Shopee application in eastern Medan. The research time starts in February 2023 until it is finished. The sample in this study uses the theory of the minimum number of samples that should be used is 10 times the number of indicators. In this study the number of indicators was 13 multiplied by 10, the results obtained were 130 respondents. The measurement scale used in this study is the Likert scale. Variables measured using a Likert scale are variable indicators. Test Instrument after this research filled out the questionnaire, then the next step is how to process data sourced from the questionnaire. Questionnaire data is raw data that must be further processed in order to become useful information for research. To analyze the data and measure how much the influence of price perceptions, brand trust, and customer reviews, the study used the SPSS 24 program to process the data that had been obtained through a questionnaire.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

1. Validity Test Result

Table 1
Validity Test Result

| Variabel | Pernyataan | Thitung | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {tabel }}$ | Keterangan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Persepsi Harga (X1) | PH1 | 0,594 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | PH2 | 0,560 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | PH3 | 0,562 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | PH4 | 0,566 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | PH5 | 0,676 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | PH6 | 0,529 | 0,1723 | Valid |
| Kepercayaan Merek (X2) | KM1 | 0,796 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | KM2 | 0,804 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | KM3 | 0,837 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | KM4 | 0,742 | 0,1723 | Valid |
| Ulasan Pelanggan(X3) | UP1 | 0,612 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | UP2 | 0,622 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | UP3 | 0,698 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | UP4 | 0,612 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | UP5 | 0,744 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | UP6 | 0,629 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | UP7 | 0,726 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | UP8 | 0,460 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | UP9 | 0,748 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | UP10 | 0,756 | 0,1723 | Valid |
| Keputusan Pembelian (Y) | KP1 | 0,680 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | KP2 | 0,702 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | KP3 | 0,638 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | KP4 | 0,710 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | KP5 | 0,753 | 0,1723 | Valid |
|  | KP6 | 0,791 | 0,1723 | Valid |

Source: Data Processed Using SPSS (2023)
Based on the results of the table above, it was found that the results of the validity test on price perceptions, brand trust, customer reviews, and purchasing decisions were 0.1723 . It can be seen that the value of rcount is greater than rtable. So it can be concluded that the variable price perception, brand trust, customer reviews, and purchasing decisions are valid.

## 2. Reliability Test Results

Table 2
Reliability Test Results

| Variabel | Cronbach Alpha | Koefisien Korelasi | Keterangan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Persepsi Harga <br> (X1) | 0,609 | 0,60 | Reliabel |
| Kepercayaan <br> Merek (X2) | 0,806 | 0,60 | Reliabel |
| Ulasan Pelanggan <br> (X3) | 0,857 | 0,60 | Reliabel |
| Keputusan <br> Pembelian (Y) | 0,807 | 0,60 | Reliabel |

Source: Data Processed Using SPSS (2023)
Based on the table above it can be seen that the Cronbach Alpha of each variable $X$ and $Y$ is greater than 0.60 . Thus it can be concluded that all statements on the instrument used are reliable (reliable).
3. Classical Assumption Test
a. Normality Test

Table 3
One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

|  |  | Unstandardiz <br> ed Residual |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| N | Mean | 130 |
| Normal Parameters ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ | Std. | $0 \mathrm{E}-7$ |
|  | Deviation | 1.77113578 |
|  | Absolute | .094 |
| Most Extreme | Positive | .094 |
| Differences | Negative | -.047 |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z | 1.067 |  |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | .205 |  |

Source: Data Processed Using SPSS (2023)
Based on the table above, it can be seen that the Kolmograv - Smirnov test table shows that the asymo sign (2-tailed) is $0.205>0.05$, indicating that the regression model meets the assumption of normality.
b. Multicollinearity Test

Table 4
Multicollinearity Test

Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Model |  | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized <br> Coefficients <br> Beta | t | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B | Std. Error |  |  |  | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1 | (Constant) | -1.491 | 1.538 |  | -. 969 | . 334 |  |  |
|  | PH.Total | . 253 | . 073 | . 199 | 3.472 | . 001 | . 623 | 1.604 |
|  | KM. Total | . 835 | . 087 | . 573 | 9.550 | . 000 | . 570 | 1.754 |
|  | UP.Total | . 152 | . 041 | . 222 | 3.754 | . 000 | . 589 | 1.699 |

a. Dependent Variable: KP.Total

Source: Data Processed Using SPSS (2023)
Based on table above, it can be seen that the independent variable has a tolerance value of perceived price $(0.623>0.10)$, brand trust $(0.570>0.10)$, customer reviews $(0.589>0.10)$ and VIF value of price perception $(1.604<10)$, brand trust $(1.754$ $<10)$ customer reviews $(1.699<10)$ So it can be concluded that there is no multicollinearity.
c. Heteroscedasticity Test

The following figure is the result of the heteroscedasticity test :
Table 5


Source: Data Processed Using SPSS (2023)
Based on the picture above, the heteroscedasticity test shows that the dots do not form a certain pattern or there is no clear pattern and the dots spread above and
below the number 0 (zero) on the Y axis, so there is no heteroscedasticity.

## 4. Multiple Linear Regression Analysis Test

Table 6

## Multiple Linear Regression Analysis Test

Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$

a. Dependent Variable: KP.Total

Source: Data Processed Using SPSS (2023)
Based on the table above, the multiple regression equation is obtained as follows:

$$
\mathrm{Y}=-1.491+0.253 \mathrm{X} 1+0.835 \mathrm{X} 2+0.152 \mathrm{X} 3
$$

The regression equation above can be explained as follows:

1. $a=$ value -1.491 . This shows a constant level of value $(-)$, which means that if price perception, brand trust, and customer reviews do not increase, the constant level decreases in purchasing decisions for fashion products on Shopee ecommerce.
2. $\mathrm{b} 1=$ value 0.253 indicates that it is positive $(+)$ which means that the better the price perception explanation, the decision to buy fashion products on Shopee ecommerce is increasing
3. $\mathrm{b} 2=$ value 0.835 indicates that it is positive $(+)$ which means that the better the explanation of brand trust, the decision to buy fashion products on e-commerce Shopee will increase
4. $\mathrm{b} 3=$ value 0.152 indicates that it is positive $(+)$ which means that the better the explanation of customer reviews, the higher the decision to buy fashion products on Shopee e-commerce
5. Coefficient of Determination (R2)

Table 7
Model Summary ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model | $R$ | $R$ Square | Adjusted $R$ <br> Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $.861^{\mathrm{a}}$ | .741 | .735 | 1.79210 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), UP.Total, PH.Total, KM.Total
b. Dependent Variable: KP.Total

Source: Data Processed Using SPSS (2023)
Based on the results above, it shows that the coefficient of determination obtained by the number R2 (R Square) is 0.741 . This means that the variable price perception, brand trust, and customer reviews on purchasing decisions is $74.1 \%$, while the remaining $25.9 \%$ is explained by other variables not examined.
6. T- Test

## Table 8

Partial Test (T- Test)
Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized <br> Coefficients <br> Beta | T | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | Std. Error |  |  |  | Toleran ce | VIF |
| (Constant) | -1.491 | 1.538 |  | -. 969 | . 334 |  |  |
| PH.Total | . 253 | . 073 | . 199 | 3.472 | . 001 | . 623 | 1.604 |
| KM.Total | . 835 | . 087 | . 573 | 9.550 | . 000 | . 570 | 1.754 |
| UP.Total | . 152 | . 041 | . 222 | 3.754 | . 000 | . 589 | 1.699 |

a. Dependent Variable: KP.Total

Source: Data Processed Using SPSS (2023)

1. Testing the Price Perception Hypothesis on Purchasing Decisions Based on the calculation results in table 4.8 it can be seen that the value of tcount (3.472) $>$ ttable $(0.1723)$, then Ho is rejected and H 1 is accepted, meaning that price perception has a positive and significant effect on purchasing decisions
2. Testing the Brand Trust Hypothesis on Purchasing Decisions Based on the calculation results in table 4.8 it can be seen that the value of $t$ count $(9.550)>t$ table ( 0.1723 ), then Ho is rejected and H 2 is accepted, meaning that price discounts have a positive and significant effect on purchasing decisions.
3. Hypothesis Testing of Customer Reviews on Purchasing Decisions Based on the calculation results in table 4.8 it can be seen that the value of tcount (39.754) $>$ ttable ( 0.1723 ), then Ho is rejected and H3 is accepted, meaning that price discounts have a positive and significant effect on purchasing decisions.

## CONCLUSION

Based on the research results, the following conclusions can be obtained: The purpose of this study was to determine and analyze the effect of perceived price, brand trust and customer reviews on purchasing decisions for fashion products at Shopee e-commerce in eastern Medan. The hypothesis in this study is that price perception has a positive and significant effect on purchasing decisions, brand trust has a positive and significant effect, and customer reviews have a positive and significant effect on purchasing decisions for fashion products on ecommerce Shopee in eastern Medan. The number of samples used in this study were 130 respondents who use fashion products at Shopee e-commerce in eastern Medan and used the multiple linear regression analysis method. The results of his research are price perception, brand trust and customer reviews that have a positive and significant effect on purchasing decisions.
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